

The Section 326 CIP compliance map

The same statutory duty, met two ways. Compliance is an architecture decision, not a checklist.

CIP DUTY (31 CFR 1020.220)	WHAT THE LAW LITERALLY REQUIRES	CENTRALISE EVERYTHING AND ITS LIABILITY	PRIVACY-FIRST WAY TO MEET THE SAME DUTY
Collect	Name, date of birth, address, and identification number for each individual customer.	 Pile raw documents and identifiers into one database, a single high-value target.	 Capture and shard data so no single store holds a complete record.
Verify	Confirm identity within a reasonable time, documentary or non-documentary.	 Upload and retain image scans on central servers indefinitely.	 Read identity from an NFC chip to ICAO 9303 and eIDAS with two-step liveness, no image upload.
Record	Maintain records of the information used to verify identity.	 Keep the full plaintext record in one place, conflating retention with centralisation.	 Retain an exportable, audit-ready trail without a central plaintext honeypot.
Screen	Check the customer against government terrorist lists, with adequate notice.	 Couple screening to the same central PII store, widening the blast radius.	 Screen against lists while identity stays sharded and access-controlled.

Section 326 says record the information used to verify identity. It never says build a central PII honeypot.

Zyphe shards verified data across 60,000+ nodes under a 29-of-100 threshold scheme, so no single node holds a complete record. The customer holds the key.
zyphe.com · General information, not legal advice